## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF MICHIGAN

	United States of America	ORDER OF DETENTION PENDING TRIAL	
	v. Isau Morales-Gonzalez	Case No. 1:10-cr-00215-PLM	
	Defendant		
	fter conducting a detention hearing under the Bail Re	eform Act, 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f), I conclude that these facts require	
	Part I – F	indings of Fact	
(1)	1) The defendant is charged with an offense described in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f)(1) and has previously been convicted of a federal offense a state or local offense that would have been a federal offense if federal jurisdiction had existed – that is		
	a crime of violence as defined in 18 U.S.C. § 3 which the prison term is 10 years or more.	3156(a)(4), or an offense listed in 18 U.S.C. § 2332b(g)(5)(B) for	
	an offense for which the maximum sentence is	s death or life imprisonment.	
	an offense for which a maximum prison term of	of ten years or more is prescribed in:	
	a felony committed after the defendant had be U.S.C. § 3142(f)(1)(A)-(C), or comparable state	een convicted of two or more prior federal offenses described in 18 te or local offenses.	
	any felony that is not a crime of violence but in a minor victim	nvolves:	
		n or destructive device or any other dangerous weapon C. § 2250	
(2)		while the defendant was on release pending trial for a federal, state	
(3)	A period of less than 5 years has elapsed since the offense described in finding (1).	date of conviction defendant's release from prison for the	
(4)	Findings (1), (2) and (3) establish a rebuttable presuperson or the community. I further find that defenda	umption that no condition will reasonably assure the safety of another ant has not rebutted that presumption.	
	Alternati	ve Findings (A)	
(1)	There is probable cause to believe that the defendant	nt has committed an offense	
	for which a maximum prison term of ten years Controlled Substances Act (21 U.S.C. 801 et		
(2)	under 18 U.S.C. § 924(c).	tablished by finding (1) that no condition or combination of conditions	
(2)	will reasonably assure the defendant's appearance	tablished by finding (1) that no condition or combination of conditions and the safety of the community.	
<i>(</i> (1)	Alternati		
、 ,	There is a serious risk that the defendant will not ap	•	
(2)	There is a serious risk that the defendant will endan		
		f the Reasons for Detention	
	ind that the testimony and information submitted at t	he detention hearing establishes by <u>\( \lambda \)</u> clear and convincing	
1. Defer	idant waived his detention hearing, electing not to co	ontest detention at this time.	
	dant is subject to an ICE detainer and would not be	released in any case.	
		arrang court Cangernor Common de Chrimelande Channe	

## Part III - Directions Regarding Detention

The defendant is committed to the custody of the Attorney General or a designated representative for confinement in a corrections facility separate, to the extent practicable, from persons awaiting or serving sentences or held in custody pending appeal. The defendant must be afforded a reasonable opportunity to consult privately with defense counsel. On order of United States Court or on request of an attorney for the Government, the person in charge of the corrections facility must deliver the defendant to the United States marshal for a court appearance.

Date:	August 27, 2010	Judge's Signature: /s/	Ellen S. Carmody
		Name and Title: Elle	en S. Carmody, U.S. Magistrate Judge